Bank Access Across America

Jung Sakong Alexander K. Zentefis

Discussion by

Taha Ahsin Duke University

Urban Economics Association September 2022

Summary

- Question
 - How does access to bank branches differ across income and racial lines?
- Approach
 - Estimate a gravity equation using censored data and MSM estimator
- Key Results
 - High Share of Low-Income Residents: Higher access and weaker demand
 - High Share of Black Residents: Lower access and no difference in demand
 - Rich variation in urban-rural divide, even within cities
- Comments
 - Data
 - Selection
 - Migration

• Data work is very involved

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?
 - Technology is not widely used

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?
 - Technology is not widely used
 - Data relies upon technology use

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?
 - Technology is not widely used
 - Data relies upon technology use
 - Fixed effect accounts for demand side factors

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?
 - Technology is not widely used
 - Data relies upon technology use
 - Fixed effect accounts for demand side factors
- What is policy relevance if mass use of mobile banking?

- Data work is very involved
 - MSM estimator is used for censoring
 - OLS biased down
 - Data compares well to survey
- Does financial technology replace branches?
 - Technology is not widely used
 - Data relies upon technology use
 - Fixed effect accounts for demand side factors
- What is policy relevance if mass use of mobile banking?
 - Need bank branches for larger forms of credit

• Paper transparent about understandable limits

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population
- Does allocation of financial services correlate with selection?

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population
- Does allocation of financial services correlate with selection?
 - Already captured by block-time FE

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population
- Does allocation of financial services correlate with selection?
 - Already captured by block-time FE
 - Why do consumers still allow for certain frictions?

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population
- Does allocation of financial services correlate with selection?
 - Already captured by block-time FE
 - Why do consumers still allow for certain frictions?
 - What are welfare implications?

- Paper transparent about understandable limits
 - Selection into particular homes
 - Makes claims about shares of population
- Does allocation of financial services correlate with selection?
 - Already captured by block-time FE
 - Why do consumers still allow for certain frictions?
 - What are welfare implications?
 - Is this where FinTech is important? (HMDA)

• Gentrification

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"
 - Income growth
 - Variation in employment
 - Migration flows

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"
 - Income growth
 - Variation in employment
 - Migration flows
- Immigration

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"
 - Income growth
 - Variation in employment
 - Migration flows
- Immigration
 - Unique demand and access for Asian population

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"
 - Income growth
 - Variation in employment
 - Migration flows
- Immigration
 - Unique demand and access for Asian population

Dep. var.:	log(Expected no. of visitors)				Block group fixed effects			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
log(Income)	0.186 (0.004)	0.155 (0.004)	0.190 (0.004)	0.155 (0.004)	0.296 (0.004)	0.230 (0.004)	0.315 (0.005)	0.242 (0.005)
Black	-0.041 (0.009)	-0.056 (0.009)	-0.017 (0.010)	-0.039 (0.010)	0.041 (0.010)	-0.003 (0.010)	0.089 (0.011)	0.025 (0.011)
Asian	0.238 (0.020)	0.241 (0.020)	0.227 (0.020)	0.224 (0.019)	-0.232 (0.023)	-0.197 (0.022)	-0.202 (0.023)	-0.174 (0.022)
Other	0.034 (0.028)	0.041 (0.029)	0.009 (0.038)	0.016 (0.039)	0.010 (0.033)	0.020 (0.033)	-0.068 (0.046)	-0.058 (0.046)
Hispanic	0.008 (0.009)	-0.016 (0.010)	0.021 (0.010)	-0.012 (0.011)	-0.038 (0.010)	-0.097 (0.011)	-0.000 (0.011)	-0.083 (0.012)

- Gentrification
 - Do low-income localities have high ex-ante access
 - Or do low-income young consumers move in?
 - Already accounted for by conditioning on age
 - Sample splits along measures of "rootedness"
 - Income growth
 - Variation in employment
 - Migration flows
- Immigration
 - Unique demand and access for Asian population
 - Could use similar measures of rootedness above

Dep. var.:	log(Expected no. of visitors)				Block group fixed effects			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
log(Income)	0.186	0.155	0.190	0.155	0.296	0.230	0.315	0.242
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Black	-0.041	-0.056	-0.017	-0.039	0.041	-0.003	0.089	0.025
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.011)
Asian	0.238	0.241	0.227	0.224	-0.232	-0.197	-0.202	-0.174
	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.022)
Other	0.034	0.041	0.009	0.016	0.010	0.020	-0.068	-0.058
	(0.028)	(0.029)	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.046)	(0.046)
Hispanic	0.008	-0.016 (0.010)	0.021	-0.012	-0.038	-0.097 (0.011)	-0.000	-0.083

Conclusion

- Novel estimator and unique data
- Access to finance differs along race and income seperately
- Interested in more discussion on selection